

CoVA: Exploiting Compressed-Domain Analysis to Accelerate Video Analytics

Jinwoo Hwang, Minsu Kim, Daeun Kim Seungho Nam, Yoonsung Kim, Dohee Kim Hardik Sharma*, Jongse Park

KAIST, *Google



Growing Video Data

Video data makes up 82% of global IP traffic* as of 2022, and is growing







* CISCO Annual Internet Report

Video Analytics

Video Analytic System analyzes video to extract high-level information and answers user queries



System

Using Object Detector for Video Analytics





Challenge and Prior Approaches

Challenge



DNN-based object detector requires heavy computation

e.g., YOLO take 11 hours to process two weeks long video

Prior Approaches [VLDB'18, ICDE'20, VLDB'20]



Simple neural networks *specialized* for the user query



Cascade architecture constitute a pipeline of classifiers that trades accuracy and performance

Prior Approach: Specialized Neural Network



Specialized NN



Frame 1





Frame 2

Frame 1





Frame 1



Frame 2





Two Limitations of Prior Approaches

1. Bottleneck from Decoding

• Prior works ignore a compute-heavy preprocessing stage, video decoding!



* 720p video with HW acceleration, NVDEC

Two Limitations of Prior Approaches

2. Lack of Support for Spatial Query



CoVA: <u>Compressed Video Analysis</u>

Prior Approach



Contribution 1: 4.8× end-to-end speedup by addressing decoding bottleneck

Contribution 2: Spatial query support

CoVA Overview



Track Detection



Goal of Track Detection

Goal: without decoding, find track of moving objects

How can we find moving objects from compressed video?

How modern video codecs works



Algorithmic commonality: *Block-based compression*

Block-based Compression: Macroblock

Frames are first divided into a grid of *macroblocks*



Block-based Compression: Motion Vector

Macroblock is compressed by saving relative position to similar block



Challenge in Using Compression Metadata



Challenge: Find moving object from noisy compression metadata

Solution: Neural network based algorithm

Track Detection	Frame Selection	Decoding	Object Detection	> Label Propagation	
BlobNet	 Compression 	Metadata			
Inpu	 Motion ve MB type* MB partiti 	∍ctor ion*			
 Embedding Layer Additional layer for neural network to embed compression metadata 					

- **Temporal** Encoder-decoder architecture for denoising
 - Video instance segmentation model architecture running in pixel domain

Output

U-Net

Training label generated using background subtraction in pixel domain

BlobNet Result

• *blob*: region where moving objects appear

Decoded Video



Detected Blobs

Detecting Tracks from Blobs

Blobs detected by BlobNet are not tracked yet



Tracking with Simple Online and Realtime Tracking (SORT)



Frame Selection

Goal of Frame Selection

Goal: select minimal frames to decode



Decoding is required to see what *kinds* of objects they are Can we just pick any of the frames to decode?

Not every frame has the same decoding cost





Label Propagation

Decoding and Object Detection on Selected Frame



Label Propagation

Goal of Label Propagation

Track detection result

Object detection result



Goal: combine results from previous stages to label tracks

Overlap based label propagation

blobs at the same timestamp

Object detection result



Retrieve blob location at the timestamp of object detected frame

Overlap based label propagation



Assigned labels are *propagated* throughout the track, including not decoded frames

CoVA Summary



Evaluation Setup

Datasets: five live stream videos / Average 28 hours long



Query	specification	System specification		
Binary Predicate (BP)	Predicate (BP) Frames where querying object appears		C++ & Rust / CUDA 11.5	
Global Count (CNT)	Average count of querying object	Decoder	FFmpeg v4.41 / NVDEC v5	
Local Binary Predicate (LBP)	BP with spatial constraint	CPU	Two Intel Xeon CPU Gold 6226R	
Local Count (LCNT)	GC with spatial constraint	GPU	NVIDIA RTX 3090	

End to End System Throughput Improvement



Achieves 4.8× higher throughput in average compared to prior work

Filtration rate

Dataset	Decode Filtration Rate (%)	Inference Filtration Rate (%)	
amsterdam	87.16	99.60	
archie	72.94	99.15	
jackson	94.81	99.79	
shinjuku	77.18	99.26	
taipei	74.03	99.81	
geomean	80.80	99.39	

Reduces decoding workload by 80.8%, and inference by 99.4% on average

Bottleneck Analysis of CoVA



Bottleneck

В

Compressed domain filtering never becomes the bottleneck

Implication on accuracy

Dataset	BP (%)	CNT (Err)	Ground Truth*
amsterdam	85.79	0.15	1.40
archie	86.96	0.04	0.16
jackson	86.13	0.10	0.56
shinjuku	90.15	0.30	2.18
taipei	87.74	1.10	5.03
geomean	87.34		

*Comparison made against YOLOv4 as ground truth

Degrades accuracy in modest level comparable to prior works

E.g., Degradation in binary predicate query is in the range of 10-15%

Conclusion

- Novel video analytics pipeline that introduces compressed domain analysis
- 4.8× on average speedup by addressing decoding bottleneck
- Support for spatial query



