Characterization of Incremental Data Changes for Efficient Data Protection

Hyong Shim, Philip Shilane, & Windsor Hsu

Backup Recovery Systems Division EMC Corporation



© Copyright 2013 EMC Corporation. All rights reserved.

# **Data Protection Environment**



### Contributions

- Detailed analysis of data change characteristics from enterprise customers
- Design for replication snapshots to lower overheads on primary storage.
- Evaluation of overheads on data protection storage
- Rules-of-thumb for storage engineers and administrators



# EMC Symmetrix VMAX Traces

Collected from enterprise customer sites

Trace Set	#Volume	# Storage Systems	Duration hrs	Estimated Capacity (GB)
1hr_1Wrt	109,263	125	30.4 [78.3]	71 [203]
1hr_1GBWrt	16,100	120	7.7 [6.7]	132 [262]
24hr_1GBWrt	508	13	24.4 [1.2]	318 [439]



# Capacity and Write Footprint



Analysis for 1hr\_1GBWrit

Not collected: applications using each volume



# **I/O Properties**

Trace Set	#Write reqs (1000s)	Write size (GB)	#Read reqs (1000s)	Read size (GB)
1hr_1Wrt	72	2	167	5
	[510]	[31]	[1963]	[66]
1hr_1GBWrt	429	11	796	25
	[1270]	[80]	[4987]	[166]
24hr_1GBWrt	1803	51	7824	242
	[4839]	[338]	[23875]	[763]

- 1.9-4.3X more read I/Os than write I/Os
- 2.3-4.7X more GB read than written
- High variability
- More analysis in the paper



Trace Timeline (w = Write I/O, r = Read I/O)

wwwr ww



Storage Volume

- We measure how much data are written, on average, after seeking to a non-consecutive sector.
- Selected most sequential and most random for analysis

![](_page_6_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Figure_2.jpeg)

- We measure how much data are written, on average, after seeking to a non-consecutive sector.
- Selected most sequential and most random for analysis

![](_page_7_Picture_5.jpeg)

Trace Timeline (w = Write I/O, r = Read I/O) W W W r W W (5 + 1) Storage Volume

- We measure how much data are written, on average, after seeking to a non-consecutive sector.
- Selected most sequential and most random for analysis

![](_page_8_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

- We measure how much data are written, on average, after seeking to a non-consecutive sector.
- Selected most sequential and most random for analysis

![](_page_9_Picture_4.jpeg)

Trace Timeline (w = Write I/O, r = Read I/O) W W W r W W (5 + 1 + 3) Storage Volume

- We measure how much data are written, on average, after seeking to a non-consecutive sector.
- Selected most sequential and most random for analysis

![](_page_10_Picture_4.jpeg)

# Trace Analysis Methodology

![](_page_11_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_3.jpeg)

# Trace Analysis Methodology

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_3.jpeg)

# Trace Analysis Methodology

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_3.jpeg)

# **Replication Snapshot**

Trace Timeline (w = Write I/O)

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Goal: Create a snapshot technique that is integrated with replication that decreases overheads on primary storage
- Change block tracking records modified blocks for next replication interval, possibly with a bit vector.
- A snapshot has to maintain block values against overwrites.

![](_page_14_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### • Baseline Snapshot: All writes cause copy-on-write

![](_page_15_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_0.jpeg)

### Changed Block Replication Snapshot (CB): Only writes to tracked blocks cause copy-on-write

![](_page_16_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Changed Block with Early Release Replication Snapshot (CBER): Only writes to tracked blocks cause copy-on-write, and blocks are released once transferred

![](_page_17_Picture_2.jpeg)

© Copyright 2013 EMC Corporation. All rights reserved.

![](_page_18_Figure_0.jpeg)

- Baseline Snapshot: All writes cause copy-on-write
- Changed Block Replication Snapshot (CB): Only writes to tracked blocks cause copy-on-write
- Changed Block with Early Release Replication Snapshot (CBER): Only writes to tracked blocks cause copy-on-write, and blocks are released once transferred

![](_page_18_Picture_4.jpeg)

# **Snapshot Storage Overheads**

Rule-of-thumb: Over-provision primary capacity by 8% for snapshots

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_3.jpeg)

# Snapshot Storage Overheads

Rule-of-thumb: Over-provision primary capacity by 8% for snapshots

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_3.jpeg)

# Snapshot Storage Overheads

Rule-of-thumb: Over-provision primary capacity by 8% for snapshots

![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_3.jpeg)

### Snapshot I/O Overheads

Rule-of-thumb: Over-provision primary I/O by 100% to support copy-on-write related write-amplification

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

EMC<sup>2</sup>

# Snapshot I/O Overheads

Rule-of-thumb: Over-provision primary I/O by 100% to support copy-on-write related write-amplification

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

Sys=1799, Block=512B

![](_page_23_Picture_4.jpeg)

# Transfer Size to Protection Storage

Rule-of-thumb: 40% of written bytes are transferred to protection storage

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_3.jpeg)

### **IOPS Requirements for Protection Storage**

Rule-of-thumb: Protection storage must support 20% of the I/O per second capabilities of primary storage

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_3.jpeg)

# **Related Work**

- Trace analysis
  - Numerous publications
    Most closely related is Patterson [2002]
- Snapshots
  - Common paradigm for storage but rarely integrated with incremental transfer techniques
  - Storage overheads Azagury [2002] and Shah [2006]
- Synchronous Mirroring
  - Effective when change rates are low and geographic distance is small
  - We are focused on periodic, asynchronous replication

![](_page_26_Picture_9.jpeg)

# Conclusion

![](_page_27_Picture_1.jpeg)

# Conclusion

- Trace analysis shows diversity of storage characteristics
- Snapshot overheads on primary storage can be decreased by improved integration with network transfer
- Sequential versus random access patterns affect incremental change patterns on both primary and protection storage

![](_page_28_Picture_4.jpeg)

# Rules-of-Thumb

- Over-provision primary capacity by 8% for snapshots
- Over-provision primary I/O by 100% to support copy-on-write related write-amplification
- A write buffer decreases snapshot I/O overheads but has little impact on storage overheads
- 40% of written bytes are transferred to protection storage
- Schedule at least 6 hours between transfers to minimize clean data in transferred blocks
- Schedule at least 12 hours between transfers to minimize peak network bandwidth requirements
- Protection storage must support 20% of the I/O per second capabilities of primary storage

![](_page_29_Picture_8.jpeg)

# Questions?

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

 $\odot$  Copyright 2013 EMC Corporation. All rights reserved.

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)