

# ;login:

THE MAGAZINE OF USENIX & SAGE

February 2001 • volume 26 • number 1



inside:

LETTERS TO THE EDITORS



**USENIX & SAGE**

The Advanced Computing Systems Association &  
The System Administrators Guild

## EDITORIAL STAFF

### EDITORS

Tina Darmohray <[tmd@sage.org](mailto:tmd@sage.org)>  
Rob Kolstad <[kolstad@usenix.org](mailto:kolstad@usenix.org)>

### STANDARDS REPORT EDITOR

David Blackwood <[dave@usenix.org](mailto:dave@usenix.org)>

### MANAGING EDITOR

Alain Hénon <[ah@usenix.org](mailto:ah@usenix.org)>

### COPY EDITOR

Steve Gilmartin

### TYPESETTER

Festina Lente

### PROOFREADER

Annelise Zamula

## MEMBERSHIP, PUBLICATIONS, AND

## CONFERENCES

USENIX Association  
2560 Ninth Street, Suite 215  
Berkeley, CA 94710  
Phone: +1 510 528 8649  
FAX: +1 510 548 5738  
Email: <[office@usenix.org](mailto:office@usenix.org)>  
<[conference@usenix.org](mailto:conference@usenix.org)>  
<[login@usenix.org](mailto:login@usenix.org)>  
WWW: <<http://www.usenix.org>>

# letters to the editor

## ABOUT MASHEY'S FILESYSTEM TALK

From Toby Everett

<[tua@everettak.org](mailto:tua@everettak.org)>

Someone else may have caught this already, but I believe the XFS throughput record is 7GB/second for reading from a single file.

From John Mashey:

In ;login Vol. 15, No. 6, page 14, Kevin E. Fu did a nice job of summarizing SGI's XFS talk, but I did notice one typo, where Kevin wrote: "This allows XFS to achieve a throughput of 7MB/second when reading from a single file on an SGI Origin 2000 system."

Of course, the real number of 7GB/sec is a bit more noteworthy, albeit insufficient for some customers, of which at least one needed about 15GB/sec. This is hard work.

Editor's Reply: Yes, I erroneously fixed this in editing. My apologies to all who thought 7MB/second was not impressive. 7GB/sec certainly is!

RK